

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,860	04/27/2001	Brian J. Franzene	FRAN-002	1370
75	90 02/20/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael S. Neustel			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
2534 South University Drive, Suite No. 4 Fargo, ND 58103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 4.80, 112 00			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,860	FRANZENE, BRIAN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan Kuhns	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a sy within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u>	ecember 2003.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for do	ts have been received. Its have been received in A rity documents have been Its (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Its of the certified copies not Its priority under 35 U.S.C. Its sentence of the specific Its priority under 35 U.S.C. Its priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ\ ☐ Intervious	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irving (5,387,384). Irving discloses or suggests the basic claimed process of producing a custom insole for footwear including (1) taking measurements of a foot, (2) creating a foot profile based upon the measurements, (3) transferring the foot profile to a manufacturing station, and (4) producing the custom insole from the foot profile, wherein producing the custom insole involves (I) generating a positive impression within a mold based upon the foot profile (column 3, lines 1-28), (II) introducing a liquid resin or settable cushion forming material into the mold onto the positive impression, and (III) removing the insole after the settable material has hardened, the generation of the positive impression involving a) manipulating a plurality of pins or rods beneath a membrane within a forming unit to reflect the positive impression, and b) resetting the pins or rods when the insole is finished. Introducing resin or settable material into a mold by injection is well known and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art practicing the process of Irving in order to deliver settable insole forming material to a mold in a more expedient manner.
- 3.Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irving as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Lyden (6,601,042).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,860

Art Unit: 1732

Page 3

Lyden teaches or suggest the use of a computer network to transfer information

concerning a foot profile (note column 4, lines 4-20, for example). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to introduce this aspect taught by Lyden into

the method of Irving in order to upgrade the process to more contemporary business

practice.

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 5, 2003 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are considered to be moot by the

examiner based on the revised grounds of rejection introduced in this Office action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to

5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703

872-9306.

ALLAN R. KUHNS

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1132

2-10-04